

EXCAVATION / BORING SPOILS ARE TO BE HAULED OFF-SITE. IF SOIL STOCKPILING IS NECESSARY FOR LOGISTICAL REASONS, CARE SHALL BE TAKEN TO ISOLATE THE SOIL. SOIL STOCKPILE AREAS SHALL BE LINED AS PROTECT GROUNDWATER FROM POTENTIAL CONTAMINATION AND COVERED TO PREVENT

DURING EXCAVATION OF SOIL BY ANY MEANS CONTAMINATED SOILS CONTRACTOR SHALL FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES BELOW:

CONTAMINATED SOIL IS SOIL THAT EXHIBITS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: ANY SOIL DISTINCTLY DIFFERENT IN ITS PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS, SUCH AS OBSERVATION OF UNUSUAL SOIL STAINING, COLOR VARIATIONS, UNUSUAL ODORS, BUILDING DEBRIS (BRICKS, STAINED TIMBER, OR CHARCOAL), OR OILY LIQUIDS. ODORS, SUCH AS A PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS ODOR CONSTITUENT CONCENTRATIONS INDICATED

ANTICIPATED AND UNKNOWN CONTAMINATED SOIL IS PRESENT IF IT EXHIBITS A VOLATILE CONCENTRATION IN EXCESS OF 50 PARTS PER MILLION (PPM), AS MEASURED WITH A PHOTOIONIZATION DETECTOR (PID) USING

UNANTICIPATED AND UNKNOWN CONTAMINATED SOIL, IMMEDIATELY SUSPEND ALL ACTIVITIES IN THE VICINITY, NOTIFY DEQ. WITHIN 48-HOURS OF THE DISCOVERY.

CSMP LEGEND

LIMITS OF TOTAL DISTURBANCE SEDIMENT BARRIER: COMPOST FILTER SOCK SEDIMENT BARRIER / FENCE: OTHER. (SEE DETAIL SHEETS EC 2.0, EC 2.1 & EC2.2) EXISTING PROPERTY LINE EXISTING SITE FLOW DIRECTION

PROPOSED SITE FLOW DIRECTION PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR

PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR

INLET PROTECTION, (SEE DETAIL SHEETS EC 2.0, EC 2.1 & EC2.2) (SEE DETAIL SHEETS EC 2.0, EC 2.1 & EC2.2) ROCK OUTLET PROTECTION, AS NEEDED 4 COMPOST FILTER SOCKS, AS NEEDED

CONCRETE TRUCK WASHOUT FACILITY,

SEE DETAIL 7/EC2.2 MATERIALS & EQUIPMENT STOCK PILE AREA

> CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE & TIRE WASH FACILITY (SEE DETAIL SHEETS EC 2.0, EC 2.1 & EC2.2) CONSTRUCTION / SEDIMENT FENCING,

SEE DETAILS 7 & 8/EC2.1 PORTABLE RESTROOM

GARBAGE / RECYCLING CONTAINERS SOIL STOCKPILE

TEMPORARY STABILIZATION

WATER DISPOSAL / DEWATERING OUTFALL AREA,

GRAVEL CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

VEGETATIVE STABILIZATION

PROPOSED CONCRETE PAVEMENT AREA PROPOSED ROOF AREA

PROPOSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT AREA

PROPOSED LANDSCAPED AREA PROPOSED STORMWATER TREATMENT AREA

CSMP NOTES

- 1. NO ENGINEERED SOILS ALLOWED
- 2. NO SEPTIC DRAIN FIELDS ON SITE.
- 3. NO WELL IN VICINITY.
- 4. NO SEDIMENT BASINS PROPOSED.
- 5. NO TREATMENT CHEMICALS PROPOSED.
- 6. NO FERTILIZERS ALLOWED.
- 7. CONSTRUCTION FENCE TO BE PLACED AROUND ENTIRE SITE
- 8. TEMPORARILY COVER SOIL STOCKPILES WITH PLASTIC
- 9. SPILL PREVENTION PROCEDURES / KITS SHALL BE LOCATED AT CONSTRUCTION TRAILER.

#2 - Double straw wattle substitute approved by SSW - 4/20/23

JOB SITE COPY

uance of an Erosion Prevention Permit pproves protection measures, not construc ground disturbing activities. It does not lieve the permit holder and/or contractor

soil disturbing activity must comply with tions 6.625 to 6.645 of the Eugene Code





Eugene, Oregon 97401 (541) 485-8383 FAX (541) 485-8384 www.sswengineers.com

FOR: PLANS FORE & GARDEN STC WILLOW CREEK F., OREGON 97402 33-30; TAX LOT 200 íEı, √ & G, ∴ WILLC OREC CONSTRUCTION SITE

NEW FARM 8

W11TH 8

EUGENE

REVISIONS

4/04/23

STAGE 01 -DEMOLITION & EARTHWORK PLAN

SHEET

Clear skies	DATE:	5/22/2023
OURS:	0.00"	
impaired water body and ic	entify if special require	ments apply):
Garrett Castle	•	CESCL
(print name)	(tit	le)
	OURS: CHARGE LOCATION (Note mpaired water body and id No stormwater discharge Garrett Castle	DURS: 0.00" CHARGE LOCATION (Note whether site discharge mpaired water body and identify if special requirer No stormwater discharges observed during the Garrett Castle

Check "Yes," "No" or "N/A" if not applicable. If any answer is "no," describe needed correction(s) in the space provided below each question or on an attached sheet. For self-inspections, the Contractor should indicate the location of needed correction(s), along with the date corrections are made, on the working ESCP Site Map, posted on-site.

NO.	DESCRIPTION	Reference (ESC Manual unless noted)	YES	NO	N/A
1	ls the project ESCP and Site Map up to date, available on-site, and being properly implemented?	§3.5.7 §3.5.10	×		
Notes:	Site inspection found most of the BMP's to be properly implented except for a handful of areas that can get addressed. The ESCP is available digitally through download or email.				
	Are BMPs being inspected by the contractor in accordance with permit required frequencies and maintained based on inspections?	§8			X
Notes:	Not applicable.				
3	Are all discharge points free of any apparent pollutant discharges?				
	Observe and document visual observations of turbidity, color, sheen and floating materials in discharge and if possible in receiving water upstream and downstream within 30 feet of the discharge from the site.		$ \times $		
Notes:	No pollutants or sediment observed discharging from the site.				

NO.	DESCRIPTION	Reference (ESC Manual unless noted)	YES	NO	N/A	
4	Are all perimeter sediment controls in-place where required by the ESCP, properly installed and well maintained?	§6.2.1	×			
Notes:	The sediment fence around the site appeared to be in good working order and sl erosion control inspection. As with the last report, there was a few locations observed correctly per the ESCP detail, but nothing substantial and can be remedie the fencing has sagged, needs soil removed, or needs joints fastened tighter. Inc	erved where the sedied. There is also a ha	ment fe andful of	nce wa	sn't where	
5	Are all storm drain inlets properly protected where required by the ESCP, and well maintained?	§6.2.2		×		
Notes:	The eastern most curb inlet filter shown on the plans along W.11th is still missing, recommend getting that installed. Also it appears the RV that was parked near the entrance has moved on so access to the catch basin has been opened up to allow for installation of the sediment filter needed.					
	Are construction site entrances and exits properly protected (i.e., using stabilized entrance, tire wash, street sweeping, etc.) to control off-site tracking of sediment and construction related pollutants?	§6.2.4	×			
Notes:	The construction entrance appears to be in good shape with no visible soil conta from the site was observed during the site inspection. Recommend keeping that starts to avoid the need for additional erosion control measures if vehicle tracking	in good working orde	er as co	nstructi		
	Are all sediment traps, barriers, and basins constructed in accordance with the ESCP, well maintained and functioning properly?	§6.2.3			×	
Notes:	Not applicable.					
8	Have all disturbed soil areas not being actively worked been temporarily stabilized to protect against erosion in accordance with the ESCP?	§5	×			
Notes:	I recommend stabilizing any areas of exposed soil at the end of the work day or perosion as much as possible. If the site continues to sit for an extended amount of					
9	Are all other erosion prevention measures in-place and functioning in accordance with the ESCP?	§5	×			
Notes:	No concrete wash pit observed, but does not appear to be needed yet. All other I than what was listed above.	BMP's are implemen	ted corr	ectly ot	her	
	Are all stockpiles located in designated areas and properly protected (inactive - covered or perimeter controls; active - properly located away from storm drains)?	§7.2	×			
Notes:	No active soil stockpiles are located near any storm water inlets, however I recor stockpiles to help reduce any possible erosion.	nmend stabilizing or	coverin	g any fu	iture	

NO.	DESCRIPTION	Reference (ESC Manual unless noted)	YES	NO	N/A
11	Are construction materials and equipment properly stored in dedicated areas away from storm drain discharge locations with secondary containment where appropriate?	§7.2			X
Notes:	Not applicable, no construction material storage near any storm water inlets.				
12	Are all material handling and storage areas clean and free of spills, leaks, or other deleterious materials?	§7.2	×		
Notes:	No spills or pollutants observed.				
13	Are all equipment storage and maintenance areas clean and free of spills, leaks, or any other deleterious materials?	§7.2	×		
Notes:	No spills or pollutants observed.				
14	Are dust control measures being appropriately implemented?	§5.3			×
Notes:	Not applicable due to the current weather conditions, but once construction starts should be paid to keeping airborne sediment from leaving the site.	Ü	to dry o	ut, atter	ntion
15	Is the site generally free of litter and debris and do construction wastes appear to be properly managed?	§7.2	\times		
Notes:	Site is organized and mainly free of debris or waste.				
16	Are hazardous materials and wastes properly stored, including being covered and stored within berms to provide secondary containment?	§7.2			×
Notes:	Not applicable.				
17	Have spills or discharges occurred on-site (since the last inspection) that require notification to DEQ (i.e., visible sheen on public waters, over 42 gallons of oil on ground, wastewater overflows, or significant quantities of sediment)? DEQ must be notified orally within 24-hours of reportable discharges.	§ General Permit 1200-C Sch. A, Item 1 Sch. F, B.3 Sch F, B.6 Sch F, D.5		×	
Notes:	No spills observed and none reported by the contractor.	_			









































